Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,290	TSUNEZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ivan H. Carpio	2841
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ivan H. Carpio</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tomoki Tanida</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nnt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
7-8		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The cancellation of withdrawn claims 7 and 8 was discussed and ammendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·		
	we see the second	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)